arXiv:1004.2608v1 [math.NT] 15 Apr 2010

INTEGRAL POINTS FOR MULTI-NORM TORI

DASHENG WEI[1] AND FEI XU[1,2]

Abstract

We construct a finite subgroup of Brauer-Manin obstruction for detecting the existence of integral points on integral models of principle homogeneous spaces of multi-norm tori. Several explicit examples are provided.

MSC classification : 11D57, 11E72, 11G35, 11R37, 14F22, 14G25, 20G30

Keywords : integral point, multi-norm torus, Galois cohomology, Brauer-Manin obstruction.

INTRODUCTION

The integral points on homogeneous spaces of semi-simple and simply connected linear algebraic groups of non-compact type were studied by Borovoi and Rudnick in [1] and by Colliot-Thélène and the second named author in [3] by using the strong approximation theorem and the Brauer-Manin obstruction. Recently, Harari [6] showed that the Brauer-Manin obstruction accounts for the nonexistence of integral points. Colliot-Thélène noticed that a finite subgroup of the Brauer group is enough to account for the nonexistence of integral points. However these results are nonconstructive: they do not say which finite subgroup to use. One cannot use these results to determine the existence of integral points on the specific equations. It is natural to ask if one can explicitly construct such finite subgroups. This paper is also inspired by Colliot-Thélène's suggestion of studying Gauss' idea for determining integers represented by positive definite binary quadratic forms, which is beautifully explained by Cox in [2], from the point of view of Brauer-Manin obstruction. The advantage of using Brauer-Manin obstruction is to provide more perspective. For example, one can determine the solvability of the negative Pell equations by using the class field theory instead of the continued fractional method (the quadratic Diophantine approximation).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we'll give an alternative proof of the finiteness result for the Brauer-Manin obstruction in spirit of the class groups of tori for integral models and explain that there is no finite Brauer-Manin obstruction to detect all separated integral models of finite type. In Section 2, we give the explicit construction of the finite Brauer-Manin obstruction for 1-dimensional tori which are related to the several kinds of the classical binary quadratic Diophantine equations. Such classical quadratic Diophantine equations have been studied for a long time by the various methods. This construction is the natural extension of Gauss' method for determining integers represented by a positive definite integral binary quadratic form

---

Date: April 16, 2010.

and one can further determine all integers represented by a given binary inhomogeneous quadratic Diophantine equation. In Section 3, we provide several examples of 1-dimensional non-split tori where the splitting fields are imaginary quadratic fields. In Section 4, some examples of 1-dimensional non-split tori where the splitting fields are real quadratic fields are studied. We explain how to determine all integers represented by a given indefinite binary quadratic form. In Section 5, we extend the above results to the high dimensional muti-norm tori and more general integral models.

Notation and terminology are standard if not explained. Let F be a number field, $\mathfrak{o}_F$ be the ring of integers of F, Ω_F be the set of all primes in F and ∞_F be the set all infinite primes in F. For simplicity, we write $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F \setminus \infty_F$. Let $F_\mathfrak{p}$ be the completion of F at $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$ be the local completion of $\mathfrak{o}_F$ at $\mathfrak{p}$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F$. Write $\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}} = F_\mathfrak{p}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in \infty_F$. We also denote the adele (resp. the idele) of F by $\mathbb{A}_F$ (resp. $\mathbb{I}_F$) and

$$F_\infty = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \infty_F} F_\mathfrak{p}.$$

Let G be a semi-abelian variety over F and $\mathbf{X}$ be a separated $\mathfrak{o}_F$-scheme of finite type whose generic fiber X_F is a principal homogenous space of G. The obvious necessary condition for $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$ is

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) \neq \emptyset \tag{0.1}$$

which is assumed throughout this paper. The Brauer group $Br(X_F)$ of X_F is defined as

$$Br(X_F) = H^2_{et}(X_F, \mathbb{G}_m) \quad \text{and} \quad Br_1(X_F) = ker[Br(X_F) \to Br(\bar{X})]$$

where $\bar{X} = X_F \times_F \bar{F}$ and $\bar{F}$ is the algebraic closure of F. Since the image of $Br(F)$ induced by the structure morphism lies in $Br_1(X_F)$, one defines

$$Br_a(X_F) = coker[Br(F) \to Br_1(X)].$$

For any subgroup $\mathfrak{s}$ of $Br_a(X_F)$, one can define the integral Brauer-Manin set with respect to $\mathfrak{s}$ as (see [3])

$$(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}))^{\mathfrak{s}} = \{(x_\mathfrak{p}) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) : \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F} inv_\mathfrak{p}(s(x_\mathfrak{p})) = 0, \quad \forall s \in \mathfrak{s}\}.$$

1. Finite Brauer-Manin obstruction

In [6], Harari shows whether $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) = \emptyset$ is detected by $Br_a(X_F)$. By a compacity argument due to Colliot-Thélène (see [6], last remark), this is even detected by a *finite* subgroup of $Br_a(X_F)$. In order to emphasize the class group of integral model which plays important role in this paper, we provide the proof in detail.

Lemma 1.1. *$G(\mathbb{A}_F)/G(F)G(F_\infty)$ is compact.*

Proof. Since G is a semi-abelian variety over F, one has the following exact sequence

$$0 \to T \to G \to A \to 0$$

over F, where A is an abelian variety over F and T is a torus over F. By the proof of Proposition 6.5 in [13], the induced map

$$\phi : \quad G(\mathbb{A}_F) \to A(\mathbb{A}_F)$$

is open. This implies that $Im(\phi)$ is closed as well. Since $A(\mathbb{A}_F)$ is compact, one has $Im(\phi)$ is compact. By Theorem 5.2 in [13], one obtains that $T(\mathbb{A}_F)/T(F)T(F_\infty)$ is compact. Therefore one concludes that $G(\mathbb{A}_F)/G(F)G(F_\infty)$ is compact. $\square$

Since $\mathbf{X}$ is separated over $\mathfrak{o}_F$, one can view $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ as an open subset of $X_F(F_\mathfrak{p})$ by the natural map for any $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$. Since X_F is a principal homogenous space of G, one has that $G(F_\mathfrak{p})$ acts on $X_F(F_\mathfrak{p})$ continuously.

Definition 1.2. *Define*

$$Stab(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})) = \{g \in G(F_\mathfrak{p}) : \quad g\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})\}$$

for $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$ *and*

$$Stab(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})) = G(F_\mathfrak{p}) \qquad \text{for} \quad \mathfrak{p} \in \infty_F.$$

Define

$$Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X}) = G(\mathbb{A}_F) \cap [\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} Stab(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}))].$$

Define the class group of G *with respect to* $\mathbf{X}$ *as* $G(\mathbb{A}_F)/G(F)Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X})$.

One has the following basic property of $Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X})$.

Lemma 1.3. $Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X})$ *is an open subgroup of* $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$.

Proof. Since the stabilizer of an open subset is open by the continuous action, one obtains that $Stab(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}))$ is an open subgroup of $G(F_\mathfrak{p})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F$.

Let S be a finite subset of Ω_F containing ∞_F such that G has a model $\mathbf{G}$ over $\mathfrak{o}_S$ and the morphism $G \times_F X_F \to X_F$ can be extended to $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathfrak{o}_S} \mathbf{X}_S \to \mathbf{X}_S$ where $\mathfrak{o}_S$ is the S-integers of F and $\mathbf{X}_S = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathfrak{o}_F} \mathfrak{o}_S$. This implies that

$$Stab(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})) \supseteq G(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \notin S.$$

Therefore one concludes that $Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X})$ is an open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$. $\square$

Corollary 1.4. *The class group of* G *with respect to* $\mathbf{X}$ *is finite.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.3. $\square$

Now we can prove the following finiteness result by modifying the argument in [6].

Theorem 1.5. *If the Tate-Shafarevich group* $Ш(A)$ *of the abelian quotient of* G *is finite, there exists a finite subgroup* $b(\mathbf{X})$ *of* $\mathrm{Br}_a(X_F)$ *such that*

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}))^{b(\mathbf{X})} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. One only needs to show the sufficiency. By Theorem 1.1 in [8], there exists a finite subgroup $b_1(\mathbf{X})$ of $\mathrm{Br}_a(X_F)$ such that

$$X_F(\mathbb{A}_F)^{b_1(\mathbf{X})} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{if and only if} \quad X_F(F) \neq \emptyset.$$

Take $b(\mathbf{X}) \supset b_1(\mathbf{X})$. One can assume that $X_F(F) \neq \emptyset$. Fix $P \in X_F(F)$. One obtains an isomorphism of varieties $\phi_P : X_F \to G$ over F associated with P. This isomorphism induces the isomorphism of the Brauer groups $\phi_P^* : Br_a(G) \to Br_a(X_F)$.

Let $M = [0 \to G]$ be a 1-motive over F and M^* be the dual 1-motive of M in sense of [7]. By the construction in Section 4 of [8] (see also the proof of Theorem 4 in [6]), there is a group homomorphism

$$\ell : H^1(F, M^*) \to \mathrm{Br}_a G$$

such that

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} (\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}, \tilde{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\ell(\alpha), (Q_{\mathfrak{p}}))_{BM} \tag{1.6}$$

for any $\alpha \in H^1(F, M^*)$ and $(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \in G(\mathbb{A}_F)$, where the right hand side is the usual Brauer-Manin pairing and the left hand side is given by the local duality

$$(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathfrak{p}}: \quad H^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, M^*) \times H^0(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, G) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

in [7] and

$$H^0(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, G) = \begin{cases} G(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} < \infty \\ G(\mathbb{R})/N_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(G(\mathbb{C})) & \text{if } F_\infty = \mathbb{R} \\ 1 & \text{if } F_\infty = \mathbb{C} \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the image of $Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $H^0(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, G)$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F$.

Let $U_{\infty_F} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\infty_F} U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a subgroup of $G(F_\infty)$ where

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}} = \begin{cases} N_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(G(\mathbb{C})) & \text{if } F_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{R} \\ G(\mathbb{C}) & \text{if } F_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$G(F_\infty)/U_{\infty_F} \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\infty_F} H^0(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, G)$$

is a finite 2-group. Since the natural surjective homomorphism

$$G(\mathbb{A}_F)/G(F)U_{\infty_F} \to G(\mathbb{A}_F)/G(F)G(F_\infty)$$

is open with a finite kernel, one concludes that $G(\mathbb{A}_F)/G(F)U_{\infty_F}$ is compact by Lemma 1.1. Moreover the equation (1.6) is well-defined if

$$(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \in G(\mathbb{A}_F)/G(F)U_{\infty_F}$$

by Theorem 1.2 in [8].

By Lemma 1.3 and Corollary 1.4, one has that $G(F)Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X})/G(F)U_{\infty_F}$ is open and closed in $G(\mathbb{A}_F)/G(F)U_{\infty_F}$. Therefore the complement

$$W = (G(F)Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X})/G(F)U_{\infty_F})^c$$

in $G(\mathbb{A}_F)/G(F)U_{\infty_F}$ is open and closed. This implies that W is compact.

For any $x \in W$, there exists $\alpha_x \in H^1(F, M^*)$ such that the pairing (x, α_x) given by the local duality is non-zero in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ by Theorem 1.2 in [8]. Since this pairing is locally constant, there exists an open subset $V_{x,\alpha_x} \subset W$ of x such that

$$(\alpha_x, \xi) = (\alpha_x, x) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in V_{x,\alpha_x}.$$

By the compactness of W, one can find a covering

$$\{V_{x_1,\alpha_{x_1}}, \cdots, V_{x_k,\alpha_{x_k}}\}$$

of W with finitely many elements. Let $b_2(\mathbf{X})$ be a subgroup of $Br_a(X_F)$ generated by $\phi_P^*\ell(\alpha_{x_i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Since $Br_a(X_F)$ is torsion, one has that $b_2(\mathbf{X})$ is finite.

Let $b(\mathbf{X}) = b_1(\mathbf{X})b_2(\mathbf{X})$ and

$$y_A = (y_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \in (\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}))^{b(\mathbf{X})}.$$

Then $\phi_P(y_A) \in G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and

$$(\ell(\alpha_{x_i}), \phi_P(y_A))_{BM} = (\phi_P^*\ell(\alpha_{x_i}), y_A)_{BM} = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k$$

by the functoriality. By the equation (1.6) and the above construction, there are $g \in G(K)$ and $\sigma_A \in Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X})$ such that

$$\phi_P(y_A) = g \cdot \sigma_A \in G(F)Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X}).$$

This implies that

$$g(P) = \sigma_A^{-1}(y_A) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Therefore $g(P) \in \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F)$ and the proof is complete. $\square$

It should be pointed out that the finite subgroup $b(\mathbf{X})$ of $Br_a(X_F)$ depends on the integral model $\mathbf{X}$. In general, there does not exist a universal finite subgroup $\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathrm{Br}_a(X_F)$, which can be used to test the existence of the integral points for all integral model $\mathbf{X}$ of X_F.

For example, suppose $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$. Let

$$G = X_{\mathbb{Q}} = R^1_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{G}_m) = Spec(\mathbb{Q}[x,y]/(x^2+y^2-1)).$$

By Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, one has $\mathrm{Br}_1(X_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong H^2(\mathbb{Q}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}[X_{\mathbb{Q}}]^\times)$. Since

$$\bar{\mathbb{Q}}[X_{\mathbb{Q}}]^\times = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times \times f_L^{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{with} \quad f_L = x + y\sqrt{-1},$$

one obtains that $\mathrm{Br}_a(X_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong H^2(\mathbb{Q}, f_L^{\mathbb{Z}})$. Define

$$Ind_{Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/L)}^{Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})}(f_L^{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow f_L^{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \xi \mapsto \sum_{\sigma\in Gal(L/\mathbb{Q})} \sigma\xi(\sigma^{-1}).$$

One obtains the exact sequence of $Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$-module

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow Ind_{Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/L)}^{Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})}(f_L^{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow f_L^{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow 1.$$

The Galois cohomology gives

$$H^2(\mathbb{Q}, Ind_{Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/L)}^{Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})}(f_L^{\mathbb{Z}})) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathbb{Q}, f_L^{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow H^3(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

By Corollary 4.17 in [10], one has $H^3(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. By Shapiro's lemma (see (1.6.4) Proposition [11]), the corestriction map

$$cor_{L/\mathbb{Q}}: \quad H^2(L, f_L^{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathbb{Q}, f_L^{\mathbb{Z}}) \tag{1.7}$$

is surjective. Since $Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/L)$ acts on $f_L^{\mathbb{Z}}$ trivially, one gets

$$\delta: \quad Hom(Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/L), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(L, f_L^{\mathbb{Z}}) \tag{1.8}$$

by using the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

For any finite subgroup $\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathrm{Br}_a(X_F)$, there exists a finite subgroup

$$S \subset Hom(Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/L), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{such that} \quad cor_{L/\mathbb{Q}} \circ \delta(S) = \mathfrak{s}.$$

By the class field theory, there are a finite abelian extension K_S/L such that

$$S = \{\chi \in Hom(Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/L), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) : \quad \chi|_{Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K_S)} = 1\}$$

and an open subgroup U of $\mathbb{I}_L$ with

$$\psi_S: \quad \mathbb{I}_L/L^\times U \cong Gal(K_S/L)$$

via the Artin map. Let l be an odd prime such that the invertible elements in the l-adic completion of $\mathfrak{o}_L$ are contained in U. Define $\mathbf{X}$ by the equations

$$\begin{cases} x^2+y^2=1 \\ x-l+l^2z=0. \end{cases}$$

By Hensel's lemma, there is $y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_l$ such that $(1-l)^2+2y_0+l^2y_0^2=0$. Choose the local solution $(s_p)_p \in \prod_{p\leq\infty} \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ by

$$s_p=(x_p,y_p,z_p)=\begin{cases} (1,0,l^{-2}(l-1)) & \text{if } p\neq l \\ (l(1-l),1+l^2y_0,1) & \text{if } p=l. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$f_L((x_p,y_p,z_p))=\begin{cases} (x_p+y_p\sqrt{-1},x_p-y_p\sqrt{-1}) & \text{if } p \text{ splits in } L/\mathbb{Q} \\ x_p+y_p\sqrt{-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all primes p including ∞, one has $f_L((s_p)_p)\in U$. For any $\beta\in\mathfrak{s}$, there is $\chi\in S$ such that $\beta=cor_{L/\mathbb{Q}}\circ\delta(\chi)$. By (8.1.4) Proposition and (7.1.4) Corollary in [11], one has

$$\sum_{p\leq\infty} inv_p(\beta(s_p))=\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_L} inv_{\mathfrak{p}}(\delta(\chi)(s_p)).$$

By (8.1.11) Proposition in [11], one concludes that

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_L} inv_{\mathfrak{p}}(\delta(\chi)(s_p))=\chi(\psi_S(f_L((s_p)_p))=0.$$

This implies that

$$(s_p)_{p\leq\infty}\in(\prod_{p\leq\infty}\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p))^{\mathfrak{s}}.$$

However, it is clear that $\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z})=\emptyset$.

2. BINARY QUADRATIC DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS

In this section, we explicitly construct such finite Brauer-Manin obstruction for an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-scheme $\mathbf{X}$ defined by the equation

$$ax^2+bxy+cy^2+ex+fy+g=0$$

with $a,b,c,e,f,g\in\mathfrak{o}_F$ and $-d=b^2-4ac\in F^\times$. By (0.1), $X_F(F)\neq\emptyset$ by the Hasse principle.

Let V be a 2-dimensional non-degenerated quadratic space over F with the associated symmetric bilinear map $B: V\times V\to F$ satisfying

$$V=Fv_1+Fv_2 \quad \text{with} \quad B(v_1,v_1)=a,\ B(v_2,v_2)=c \ \text{ and } \ B(v_1,v_2)=\frac{1}{2}b$$

and the corresponding special orthogonal group

$$SO(V)=\{\sigma\in GL(V):\quad B(\sigma v,\sigma w)=B(v,w) \ \text{ for all } \ v,w\in V\}.$$

Let $SO_{\mathbb{A}}(V)$ and $GL_{\mathbb{A}}(V)$ be the adelic groups of $SO(V)$ and $GL(V)$ respectively.

An $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice L in V is defined as a finitely generated $\mathfrak{o}_F$-module satisfying $FL=V$ and $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the local completion of L inside the local completion $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of V at $\mathfrak{p}$ for $\mathfrak{p}<\infty_F$ and $L_{\mathfrak{p}}=V_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\mathfrak{p}\in\infty_F$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let L be an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice in V and $u_0 \in V$. For any $(\sigma_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \in GL_\mathbb{A}(V)$, there is a unique $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice L' in V and $u_0' \in V$ unique up to elements in L' such that*

$$L'_\mathfrak{p} + u'_0 = \sigma_\mathfrak{p}(L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0)$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$.

Proof. By 81:14 of [12], there is an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice L' of V such that $L'_\mathfrak{p} = \sigma_\mathfrak{p} L_\mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$. By the strong approximation theorem for $\mathbb{G}_a$, there is $u'_0 \in V$ such that

$$\sigma_\mathfrak{p} u_0 - u'_0 \in L'_\mathfrak{p}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$. Therefore

$$L'_\mathfrak{p} + u'_0 = L'_\mathfrak{p} + \sigma_\mathfrak{p} u_0 = \sigma_\mathfrak{p} L_\mathfrak{p} + \sigma_\mathfrak{p} u_0 = \sigma_\mathfrak{p}(L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0)$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$ as required.

Suppose there is an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice M in V and $x_0 \in V$ such that

$$x_0 + M_\mathfrak{p} = u'_0 + L'_\mathfrak{p}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$. This implies that $M_\mathfrak{p} = L'_\mathfrak{p}$ and $x_0 - u'_0 \in L'_\mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$. Therefore $M = L'$ and $x_0 - u'_0 \in L'$ and the uniqueness follows. □

By Lemma 2.1, one can define the action of $GL_\mathbb{A}(V)$ on $L + u_0$.

Definition 2.2. *Let L be an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice and $u_0 \in V$.*

The orbit of $(L+u_0)$ under the action of $SO_\mathbb{A}(V)$ denoted by $gen(L+u_0)$ is defined as the genus of $(L + u_0)$.

The orbit of $(L + u_0)$ under the action of $SO(V)$ denoted by $cls(L + u_0)$ is defined as the class of $(L + u_0)$.

Set

$$SO(L + u_0) = \{\sigma \in SO(V) :\ \sigma(L + u_0) = (L + u_0)\}$$

and

$$SO_\mathbb{A}(L + u_0) = \{\sigma \in SO_\mathbb{A}(V) :\ \sigma(L + u_0) = (L + u_0)\}$$

and

$$SO(L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0) = \{\sigma \in SO(V_\mathfrak{p}) :\ \sigma(L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0) = (L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0)\}$$

for any $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$.

When $u_0 \in L_\mathfrak{p}$, then $L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0 = L_\mathfrak{p}$ and it is well-known that $SO(L_\mathfrak{p})$ is an open compact group in $\mathfrak{p}$-adic topology for any $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$.

Lemma 2.3. *$SO(L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0)$ is an open compact subgroup of $SO(L_\mathfrak{p})$ in $\mathfrak{p}$-adic topology for any $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$.*

Proof. Since $SO(V_\mathfrak{p})$ acts continuously on $V_\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$-adic topology and the stabilizer of an open set is open, one concludes that $SO(L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0)$ is open.

For any $\sigma \in SO(L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0)$, one has $\sigma u_0 - u_0 \in L_\mathfrak{p}$ and $\sigma L_\mathfrak{p} \subseteq L_\mathfrak{p}$. One concludes that $SO(L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0)$ is a closed subgroup of $SO(L_\mathfrak{p})$. Since $SO(L_\mathfrak{p})$ is compact, one obtains that $SO(L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0)$ is compact. □

Corollary 2.4. *The number of classes in $gen(L + u_0)$ is finite.*

Proof. Since $u_0 \in L_\mathfrak{p}$ for almost all $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$, one concludes that

$$[SO_\mathbb{A}(L) : SO_\mathbb{A}(L + u_0)] < \infty$$

by Lemma 2.3. Since the number of classes in $gen(L)$ which is given by index

$$[SO_\mathbb{A}(V) : SO(V)SO_\mathbb{A}(L)]$$

is finite (see 103:4 of [12]), one concludes the number of classes in $gen(L+u_0)$ is given by

$$[SO_{\mathbb{A}}(V) : SO(V)SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L+u_0)]$$

which is finite. □

Corollary 2.5. *$SO(L+u_0)$ is a subgroup of $SO(L)$ with finite index.*

Proof. The result follows from $SO(L+u_0) = SO(V) \cap SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L+u_0)$. □

To apply the above argument to our $\mathbf{X}$ in this section, we choose

$$L = \mathfrak{o}_F v_1 + \mathfrak{o}_F v_2 \quad \text{and} \quad u_0 = d^{-1}(bf-2ce)v_1 + d^{-1}(be-2af)v_2 \in V.$$

Since $X_F(F) \neq \emptyset$, one fixes a rational point P in $X_F(F)$ from now on, which gives $x_0 \in V$ such that

$$B(x_0,x_0) = B(u_0,u_0) - g.$$

Split Cases. $-d = b^2 - 4ac \in (F^\times)^2$.

Identify

$$SO(V) = \mathbb{G}_m(F) = F^\times \quad \text{and} \quad SO(V_\mathfrak{p}) = \mathbb{G}_m(F_\mathfrak{p}) = F_\mathfrak{p}^\times$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F$. Then $SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L+u_0)$ is an open subgroup of $\mathbb{I}_F$. Since $X_F \cong \mathbb{G}_m$ induced by the fixed rational point P, one has the bijection

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \cong \{\sigma \in SO(V) :\ x_0 \in \sigma(L+u_0)\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \{\sigma \in SO(V_\mathfrak{p}) :\ x_0 \in \sigma(L_\mathfrak{p}+u_0)\}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$ by the Witt theorem (42:16 and 42:17 of [12]). These bijections give the injection

$$f_F : \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_F.$$

Theorem 2.6.

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset \qquad \textit{if and only if} \qquad f_F[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})] \cap [F^\times SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L+u_0)] \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{X}$ is separated over $\mathfrak{o}_F$, one has $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \subseteq \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F$ and

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \subseteq \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$$

by the diagonal map. If $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$f_F[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})] \cap [F^\times SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L+u_0)] \supseteq f_F[\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F)] \cap F^\times \neq \emptyset$$

and the necessity follows.

Conversely, there is $y_A \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ such that $f_F(y_A) \in F^\times SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L+u_0)$. There are $\varrho \in SO(V)$ and $\sigma_A \in SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L+u_0)$ such that $y_A = \varrho\sigma_A(P)$. This implies that

$$\varrho(P) = \sigma_A^{-1}(y_A) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Therefore $\varrho(P) \in \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$ and the proof is complete. □

By the class field theory, there is a finite abelian extension F_{L+u_0}/F such that the Artin map gives the isomorphism

$$\psi_{F_{L+u_0}/F}: \quad \mathbb{I}_F/F^\times SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L+u_0) \cong Gal(F_{L+u_0}/F) \tag{2.7}$$

Corollary 2.8. *With the notation as above,* $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$ *if and only if there is*

$$x_A \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) \quad \text{such that} \quad \psi_{F_{L+u_0}/F}(f_F(x_A)) = 1$$

in $Gal(F_{L+u_0}/F)$.

Proof. This is Theorem 2.6 in term of Artin symbols. □

One can interpret Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.8 in terms of integral Brauer-Manin obstruction. Indeed, since $X_F \cong \mathbb{G}_m$ induced by the fixed rational point P, one has $Pic(X_F) = 0$ and the $Gal(\bar{F}/F)$-module isomorphism $\bar{F}[X]^\times \cong \bar{F}^\times \times \mathbb{Z}$ where $\bar{F}[X]$ is the global sections of $\bar{X}$. By Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (see Theorem 2.20 of Chapter III in [9]) in etale cohomology

$$H^p(F, H^q(\bar{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X_F, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

one obtains the injection

$$\eta: \quad H^2(F, \bar{F}[X]^\times) \cong Br(F) \times H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow Br(X_F).$$

Applying Galois cohomology with the trivial action over

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0,$$

one obtains

$$\delta: \quad Hom(Gal(F_{L+u_0}/F), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(Gal(F_{L+u_0}/F), \mathbb{Z}) \tag{2.9}$$

which is finite. Moreover the inflation map

$$\iota: \quad H^2(Gal(F_{L+u_0}/F), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$$

is injective.

Corollary 2.10. *Let*

$$b(L+u_0) = \eta \circ \iota \circ \delta[Hom(Gal(F_{L+u_0}/F), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})].$$

Then

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{if and only if} \quad [\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})]^{b(L+u_0)} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. The necessity follows from the class field theory and one only needs to show the sufficiency. Let $(x_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \in [\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})]^{b(L+u_0)}$. Then

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p}} inv_\mathfrak{p}(\eta \circ \iota \circ \delta(\chi)(x_\mathfrak{p})) = 0$$

for any $\chi \in Hom(Gal(F_{L+u_0}/F), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ runs over all primes of F. By (8.1.11) Proposition in [11] and (2.7), one has

$$\chi(\psi_{F_{L+u_0}/F}(f_F[(x_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}])) = 0$$

for all $\chi \in Hom(Gal(F_{L+u_0}/F), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. This implies that $f_F[(x_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}] \in F^\times SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L+u_0)$. The result follows from Theorem 2.6. □

Non-split Cases. $-d = b^2 - 4ac \notin (F^\times)^2$.

Then X_F is a trivial torsor over F under the torus $R^1_{E/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ where $E = F(\sqrt{-d})$. Identify

$$SO(V) = R^1_{E/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)(F) \quad \text{and} \quad SO(V_\mathfrak{p}) = R^1_{E/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)(F_\mathfrak{p})$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F$. Since E is the splitting field of $R^1_{E/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)$, the generator λ_E of the characters of $R^1_{E/F}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times_F E$ induces the isomorphism

$$\lambda_E : \quad R^1_{E/F}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times_F E \cong \mathbb{G}_{m,E}$$

which is fixed from now on. For any F-algebra A, λ_E induces the isomorphism

$$\lambda_E : \quad R^1_{E/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)(A) \cong ker[N_{E/F} : (E \otimes_F A)^\times \to A^\times]$$

of abelian groups by evaluation, where $N_{E/F}$ is induced by the norm map from E to F. In particular

$$\lambda_E : \quad SO(V_\mathfrak{p}) = R^1_{E/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)(F_\mathfrak{p}) \cong \mathrm{Ker}(N_{E/F} : E_\mathfrak{p}^\times \to F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)$$

is an isomorphism of the topological groups, where $E_\mathfrak{p} = E \otimes_F F_\mathfrak{p}$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F$. Write $\mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{o}_E \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_F} \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$.

Definition 2.11. *Let L be an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice in V and $u_0 \in V$. An open subgroup Ξ of $\mathbb{I}_E$ is called $(L + u_0)$-admissible if $\lambda_E[SO_\mathbb{A}(L + u_0)] \subseteq \Xi$ and the induced map*

$$\lambda_E : SO_\mathbb{A}(V)/SO(V)SO_\mathbb{A}(L + u_0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_E/E^\times \Xi$$

is injective.

To show the existence of such admissible open subgroups, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.12. *If $C_\mathfrak{p}$ is an open subgroup of $SO(V_\mathfrak{p})$ and k is a positive integer, then*

$$\lambda_E(C_\mathfrak{p}) \cdot (F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^k$$

is an open subgroup of $E_\mathfrak{p}^\times$ for $\mathfrak{p}$-adic topology with $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$.

Proof. Since $C_\mathfrak{p}$ is an open subgroup of $SO(V_\mathfrak{p})$, there is a positive integer n such that

$$(1 + \mathfrak{p}^n \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \lambda_E(SO(V_\mathfrak{p})) \subseteq \lambda_E(C_\mathfrak{p}).$$

By Hensel's lemma, there is a sufficiently large positive integer $m > n$ such that

$$(1 + \mathfrak{p}^m \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq (1 + \mathfrak{p}^n \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{p}})^{2k}.$$

Let τ be the non-trivial element in $Gal(E/F)$ and $x \in (1 + \mathfrak{p}^m \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{p}})$. Then there is $y \in (1 + \mathfrak{p}^n \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{p}})$ such that $x = y^{2k}$ and $\tau y \in (1 + \mathfrak{p}^n \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{p}})$. Since

$$x = y^{2k} = (y(\tau y)^{-1})^k \cdot (y(\tau y))^k \in \lambda_E(C_\mathfrak{p}) \cdot (F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^k,$$

one concludes that

$$(1 + \mathfrak{p}^m \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq \lambda_E(C_\mathfrak{p}) \cdot (F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^k.$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.13. *Let k be a positive integer. Then there is a finite subset T_0 of $\Omega_F \setminus \infty_F$ such that*

$$F^\times \cap [\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T} (F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^{2kh_F} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin T} \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times] \subseteq (F^\times)^k$$

for any $T \supseteq T_0$, where h_F is the class number of F.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times/(\mathfrak{o}_F^\times)^{2k}$ is finite by the Dirichlet unit theorem, there are only finitely many cosets $\alpha_i(\mathfrak{o}_F^\times)^{2k}$ of $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times/(\mathfrak{o}_F^\times)^{2k}$ such that $\alpha_i\not\in (F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^\times)^{2k}$ for some prime $\mathfrak{p}_i<\infty_F$. Fix one such prime $\mathfrak{p}_i$ for each $\alpha_i(\mathfrak{o}_F^\times)^{2k}$ and define T_0 to be the set consisting of all such $\mathfrak{p}_i$. It is possible that T_0 can be empty.

Let

$$\alpha\in F^\times\cap[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in T}(F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^{2kh_F}\times\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\not\in T}\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times]$$

for any finite set $T\supseteq T_0$. Since $\mathfrak{p}^{h_F}$ is a principal ideal, there is $\varpi_\mathfrak{p}\in F$ such that $\mathfrak{p}^{h_F}=\varpi_\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_F$ for each finite $\mathfrak{p}\in T$. There is $t_\mathfrak{p}\in\mathbb{Z}$ for each finite $\mathfrak{p}\in T$ such that

$$\beta=\alpha(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in T\setminus\infty_F}\varpi_\mathfrak{p}^{t_\mathfrak{p}})^{2k}\in\mathfrak{o}_F^\times.$$

Then $\beta(\mathfrak{o}_F^\times)^{2k}$ is not one of the above mentioned cosets $\alpha_i(\mathfrak{o}_F^\times)^{2k}$. This implies that

$$\alpha\in F^\times\cap[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\infty_F}F_\mathfrak{p}^\times\times\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\not\in\infty_F}(F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^{2k}].$$

Therefore

$$F^\times\cap[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in T}(F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^{2kh_F}\times\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\not\in T}\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times]\subseteq F^\times\cap[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\infty_F}F_\mathfrak{p}^\times\times\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\not\in\infty_F}(F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^{2k}].$$

Let

$$x\in F^\times\cap[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\infty_F}F_\mathfrak{p}^\times\times\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\not\in\infty_F}(F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^{2k}].$$

Applying (9.1.3) Theorem in [11] for μ_{2k}, one obtains that $x^2\in(F^\times)^{2k}$. There is $y\in F^\times$ such that $x=y^k$ or $x=-y^k$.

Suppose $x=-y^k$. Let $k=2^sk_1$ with $2\nmid k_1$ and $\zeta_{2^{s+1}}$ be a primitive 2^{s+1}-th roots of unity. Then $\zeta_{2^{s+1}}\in F_\mathfrak{p}^\times$ for all $\mathfrak{p}<\infty_F$. By the Chebotarev density theorem, one concludes that $\zeta_{2^{s+1}}\in F$. Therefore $-1=(\zeta_{2^{s+1}})^k\in(F^\times)^k$ and the proof is complete. ☐

The proof of Lemma 2.13 also provide the explicit method to determine the set T_0. For example, when $F=\mathbb{Q}$, one has $T_0=\{2\}$ or $\{p\}$ with $p\equiv 3\mod 4$. The following corollary has its own independent interest.

Corollary 2.14. *For any open subgroup Ξ of $\mathbb{I}_F$ and a positive integer k, there is an open subgroup Ξ_k of $\mathbb{I}_F$ such that $(F^\times\cap\Xi_k)\subseteq(F^\times\cap\Xi)^k$.*

Proof. Let $\Xi_\mathfrak{p}$ be an open subgroup of $F_\mathfrak{p}$ for each $\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F$ such that $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}\Xi_\mathfrak{p}\subseteq\Xi$ and $\Xi_\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ for almost all $\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F$. Let S_0 be a finite subset of Ω_F such that $\Xi_\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ for all $\mathfrak{p}\not\in S_0$. For each $\mathfrak{p}\in S_0$ and a prime divisor q of k, one defines $t_\mathfrak{p}(q)$ to be the maximal positive integer t such that the primitive q^t-th roots of unity are in $F_\mathfrak{p}$. Let

$$t_\mathfrak{p}=\max\{t_\mathfrak{p}(q):\ \text{the prime divisor } q \text{ of } k\}\quad\text{and}\quad r=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S_0}(t_\mathfrak{p}+1).$$

Applying Lemma 2.13 for k^{r+1}, one obtains a finite subset T_0 of Ω_F. Let $S=S_0\cup T_0$ and defines

$$\Xi_k=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}\Xi_\mathfrak{p}^{2h_Fk^{r+1}}\times\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\not\in S}\Xi_\mathfrak{p}.$$

For any $x \in (F^\times \cap \Xi_k)$, there is $y \in F^\times$ such that $x = y^{k^{r+1}}$ by Lemma 2.13. Moreover, there is $\xi_\mathfrak{p} \in \Xi_\mathfrak{p}$ such that

$$x = y^{k^{r+1}} = \xi_\mathfrak{p}^{k^{r+1}}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in S$.

If $\mathfrak{p} \in S_0$, one has that

$$y^{k^r} = \xi_\mathfrak{p}^{k^r} \in \Xi_\mathfrak{p}$$

by the maximality of $t_\mathfrak{p}$.

If $\mathfrak{p} \not\in S_0$, one has

$$y^{k^r} \in \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times = \Xi_\mathfrak{p}.$$

Therefore $y^{k^r} \in (F^\times \cap \Xi)$ and the proof is complete. □

Now we can prove the existence of the admissible subgroups.

Theorem 2.15. *The $(L + u_0)$-admissible subgroups of $\mathbb{I}_E$ always exist.*

Proof. Let S_0 be a finite subset of $\Omega_F \setminus \infty_F$ such that

$$\lambda_E[SO(L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0)] = ker(N_{E/F} : \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}^\times \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times)$$

for every $\mathfrak{p} \not\in S_0$. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in S_0$, one defines $t_\mathfrak{p}$ to be the maximal positive integer t such that the primitive 2^t-th roots of unity are in $F_\mathfrak{p}$. Let

$$l = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}(t_\mathfrak{p} + 1)$$

and T_0 as in Lemma 2.13 for $k = 2^{l+1}$ and $S = S_0 \cup T_0$. Put

$$\Xi = [\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S} \lambda_E[SO(L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0)] \cdot (F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^{2^{l+1}h_F}] \times (\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\not\in S} \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}^\times)$$

where h_F is the class number of F. By Lemma 2.12, one has that Ξ is an open subgroup of $\mathbb{I}_E$ and

$$\lambda_E[SO_\mathbb{A}(L + u_0)] \subseteq \Xi.$$

Suppose $\sigma \in SO_\mathbb{A}(V)$ satisfying $\lambda_E(\sigma) = ai$ with $a \in E^\times$ and $i \in \Xi$. Then

$$N_{E/F}(a) \in [\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}(F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^{2^{l+2}h_F} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\not\in S} \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times].$$

By Lemma 2.13, there is $u \in F^\times$ such that $N_{E/F}(a) = u^{2^{l+1}}$. This implies that

$$au^{-2^l} \in \lambda_E(SO(V)).$$

Write $i = (i_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}$ and $i_\mathfrak{p} = s_\mathfrak{p} n_\mathfrak{p}$ where

$$s_\mathfrak{p} \in \lambda_E[SO(L_\mathfrak{p} + u_0)] \quad \text{and} \quad n_\mathfrak{p} \in (F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^{2^{l+1}h_F}$$

for $\mathfrak{p} \in S$. Therefore $u^{2^{l+1}} n_\mathfrak{p}^2 = 1$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in S$.

If $\mathfrak{p} \in S_0$, one has that $u^{2^l} n_\mathfrak{p} = 1$ by the maximality of $t_\mathfrak{p}$.

If $\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus S_0$, one has $u^{2^l} n_\mathfrak{p} \in ker(N_{E/F} : \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}^\times \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times)$.

One concludes that

$$u^{2^l} i \in \lambda_E[SO_\mathbb{A}(L + u_0)] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \in SO(V)SO_\mathbb{A}(L + u_0).$$

The proof is complete. □

The isomorphism $X_F \cong R^1_{E/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ induced by the fixed rational point P produces the bijection

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \cong \{\sigma \in SO(V) : \ x_0 \in \sigma(L+u_0)\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \{\sigma \in SO(V_\mathfrak{p}) : \ x_0 \in \sigma(L_\mathfrak{p}+u_0)\}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} < \infty_F$ by the Witt theorem (42:16 and 42:17 of [12]).

By combining the evaluation of λ_E with the above isomorphism, one can define

$$f_E : \quad \mathbf{X}(A) \to X_F(A) \cong R^1_{E/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)(A) \cong ker[N_{E/F} : (E\otimes_F A)^\times \to A^\times]$$

for any F-algebra A and

$$f_E[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})] \subseteq \mathbb{I}_E.$$

Theorem 2.16. *Let Ξ be an $(L+u_0)$-admissible subgroup of $\mathbb{I}_E$. Then*

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset \qquad \text{if and only if} \qquad f_E[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})] \cap (E^\times \Xi) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{X}$ is separated over $\mathfrak{o}_F$, one has $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \subseteq \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F$ and

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \subseteq \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$$

by the diagonal map. If $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$f_E[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})] \cap (E^\times \Xi) \supseteq f_E[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})] \cap E^\times \supseteq f_E[\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F)] \cap E^\times \neq \emptyset$$

and the necessity follows.

Conversely, there is $y_A \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ such that $f_E(y_A) \in E^\times \Xi$. By Definition 2.11, there are $\varrho \in SO(V)$ and $\sigma_A \in SO_\mathbb{A}(L+u_0)$ such that $y_A = \varrho\sigma_A(P)$. This implies that

$$\varrho(P) = \sigma_A^{-1}(y_A) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Therefore $\varrho(P) \in \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$ and the proof is complete. □

Let Ξ be a $(L+u_0)$-admissible subgroup of $\mathbb{I}_E$. By the class field theory, there is a finite abelian extension K_Ξ/E such that the Artin map

$$\psi_{K_\Xi/E} : \quad \mathbb{I}_E/E^\times\Xi \cong Gal(K_\Xi/E) \tag{2.17}$$

gives the isomorphism.

Corollary 2.18. *With the notation as above, $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there is*

$$x_A \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) \quad \text{such that} \quad \psi_{K_\Xi/E}(f_E(x_A)) = 1$$

in $Gal(K_\Xi/E)$.

Proof. This is Theorem 2.16 in term of Artin symbols. □

Remark 2.19. *In the proof of necessity of Theorem 2.16, one does not need that Ξ is admissible. Therefore $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) = \emptyset$ if there is an abelian extension K/E such that*

$$\psi_{K/E}(f_E(x_A)) \neq 1 \quad \text{for any} \quad x_A \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$$

where $\psi_{K/E}$ is the Artin map.

In practice, it may be useful to have the following variant version of Theorem 2.16 and Corollary 2.18.

Corollary 2.20. *Let* $\Xi_1, \cdots, \Xi_s$ *be open subgroups of* $\mathbb{I}_E$ *such that the group homomorphism induced by* λ_E

$$\lambda_E : SO_{\mathbb{A}}(V)/SO(V)SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L+u_0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{I}_E/E^\times \Xi_1) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{I}_E/E^\times \Xi_s)$$

is well-defined and injective.

Let $K_{\Xi_1}, \cdots, K_{\Xi_s}$ *be abelian extensions of* E *corresponding to* $E^\times\Xi_1, \cdots, E^\times\Xi_s$ *respectively.*

Then $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$ *if and only if there is* $x_A \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ *such that every* $\psi_{K_{\Xi_i}/E}(f_E(x_A))$ *is trivial for* $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Proof. Equivalently, one needs to show that

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f_E[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})] \cap [\cap_{i=1}^s (E^\times \Xi_i)] \neq \emptyset$$

by the class field theory. The injectivity of f_E guarantee that the result follows from the exact same argument as that in Theorem 2.16. $\square$

Remark 2.21. *For* $P \in X_F(F)$*, there is* $y_0 \in E^\times$ *given by* P *such that* $N_{E/F}(y_0) = N$ *for some* $N \in F^\times$*. Then*

$$f_E[(x_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}] = y_0^{-1} \cdot (y_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \in \mathbb{I}_E$$

where $y_\mathfrak{p} \in E_\mathfrak{p}^\times$ *is given by* $x_\mathfrak{p}$ *with* $N_{E/F}(y_\mathfrak{p}) = N$ *for any* $(x_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \in \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$*. Since*

$$y_0^{-1} \cdot (y_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \in E^\times \Xi \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (y_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \in E^\times \Xi$$

for any open subgroup Ξ *of* $\mathbb{I}_E$*, one can define*

$$\tilde{f}_E[(x_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}] = (y_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}$$

to replace f_E *in practice. The advantage of* $\tilde{f}_E$ *is that one can ignore the fixed rational point.*

Now we can interpret Theorem 2.16 in terms of Brauer-Manin obstruction. Let X_E and $\bar{X}$ be the base change of X_F to E and the algebraic closure $\bar{F}$ of F respectively. Write $F[X]$, $E[X]$ and $\bar{F}[X]$ as the global sections of X_F, X_E and $\bar{X}$ respectively.

Proposition 2.22. *The natural homomorphisms*

$$H^2(F, \bar{F}[X]^\times) \longrightarrow Br(X_F) \quad \text{and} \quad H^2(E, \bar{F}[X]^\times) \longrightarrow Br(X_E)$$

are isomorphic.

Proof. We only show the first one is isomorphic and the other one is similar. By Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (see Theorem 2.20 of Chapter III in [9]) in etale cohomology

$$H^p(F, H^q(\bar{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X_F, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

one obtains

$$(Pic\bar{X})^{Gal(\bar{F}/F)} \to H^2(F, \bar{F}[X]^\times) \to \mathrm{Ker}[Br(X_F) \to Br(\bar{X})] \to H^1(F, Pic(\bar{X})).$$

Since $\bar{X} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m/\bar{F}}$, one has $Pic(\bar{X}) = 0$. By Tsen's theorem (see (6.5.5) Corollary and (6.5.8) Proposition in [11]) and Corollary 2.6 of Chapter IV in [9], one obtains $Br(\bar{X}) = 0$. The proof is complete. $\square$

The fixed rational point P in $X_F(F)$ gives the isomorphism $X_F \cong R^1_{E/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. By applying λ_E, one obtains the isomorphism $\bar{X} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m/\bar{F}}$. This gives the decomposition $\bar{F}[X]^\times = \bar{F}^\times \times f_E^{\mathbb{Z}}$ as Galois modules. By Proposition 2.22, one has

$$Br(X_F) \cong Br(F) \times H^2(F, f_E^{\mathbb{Z}}) \quad \text{and} \quad Br(X_E) \cong Br(E) \times H^2(E, f_E^{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

Let Ξ be an $(L+u_0)$-admissible subgroup of $\mathbb{I}_E$ and K_Ξ/E is a finite abelian extension corresponding to $E^\times \Xi$ by the class field theory. Since the Galois group $Gal(\bar{E}/E)$ acts on $f_E^{\mathbb{Z}}$ trivially, one has

$$H^1(K_\Xi, f_E^{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong Hom_{cont}(Gal(\bar{E}/K_\Xi), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

and the inflation map

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(Gal(K_\Xi/E), f_E^{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow H^2(E, f_E^{\mathbb{Z}})$$

is injective by (1.6.6) Proposition in [11]. By the short exact sequence of $Gal(K_\Xi/E)$-module

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

with the trivial action, one obtains

$$H^1(Gal(K_\Xi/E), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = Hom(Gal(K_\Xi/E), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(Gal(K_\Xi/E), f_E^{\mathbb{Z}}) \tag{2.23}$$

which is finite.

Definition 2.24. *The image of $H^2(Gal(K_\Xi/E), f_E^{\mathbb{Z}})$ under the corestriction map $H^2(E, f_E^{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow H^2(F, f_E^{\mathbb{Z}})$ is a finite subgroup of $Br(X_F)$ denoted by $b(\Xi)$.*

One can reformulate Theorem 2.16 in terms of Brauer-Manin obstruction.

Corollary 2.25. *Let Ξ be an $(L+u_0)$-admissible subgroup of $\mathbb{I}_E$. Then*

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{if and only if} \quad [\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})]^{b(\Xi)} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. The necessity follows from the class field theory and one only needs to show the sufficiency. Let δ be the isomorphism obtained as in (2.23)

$$\delta: \quad Hom(Gal(K_\Xi/E), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(Gal(K_\Xi/E), \mathbb{Z}).$$

For any $\chi \in Hom(Gal(K_\Xi/E), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, the cup product gives

$$\xi = f_E \cup \delta(\chi) \in H^2(Gal(K_\Xi/E), f_E^{\mathbb{Z}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = cor_{E/F}(\xi) \in b(\Xi).$$

Let $(x_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F} \in [\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})]^{b(\Xi)}$. Since the corestriction commutes with the evaluation, one has $\beta(x_\mathfrak{p}) = cor_{E/F}\xi(x_\mathfrak{p})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F$. Then

$$inv_\mathfrak{p}(\beta(x_\mathfrak{p})) = inv_\mathfrak{p}(cor_{E/F}\xi(x_\mathfrak{p})) = \sum_{\mathfrak{P} | \mathfrak{p}} inv_\mathfrak{P}(f_E(x_\mathfrak{p}) \cup \delta(\chi))$$

by (8.1.4) Proposition and (7.1.4) Corollary in [11], where $\mathfrak{P}$'s are all primes in E above $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $\beta \in b(\Xi)$, one concludes that

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{P}} inv_\mathfrak{P}(f_E(x_\mathfrak{p}) \cup \delta(\chi)) = 0$$

where $\mathfrak{P}$ runs over all primes of E. This implies

$$\chi(\psi_{K_\Xi/E}(f_E[(x_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F}])) = 0$$

for all $\chi \in Hom(Gal(K_\Xi/E), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ by (8.1.11) Proposition in [11] and (2.17). So $f_E[(x_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F}] \in E^\times \Xi$. By Theorem 2.16, $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$. The proof is complete. □

Finally we have one more remark about the explicit computation.

Remark 2.26. *It is quite useful to know the finite subset S of Ω_F explicitly such that $inv_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ outside S for the constructive finite Brauer-Manin obstruction in Corollary 2.10 and Corollary 2.25 constructed in Theorem 2.15.*

For the split cases, one can choose S containing ∞_F, all dyadic primes of F, all finite primes such that x_0 or u_0 is not in $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$, all finite primes such that $B(x_0, x_0)$ or $B(u_0, u_0)$ is not in $\mathfrak{o}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\times}$ and all primes such that $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not unimodular.

For the non-split cases, besides the above primes of F, one needs to add T_0 in Lemma 2.13 as the part of S.

It should also be pointed out that there is no general theory for constructing F_{L+u_0} in (2.7) and K_{Ξ} in (2.17) explicitly except the cyclotomic field theory over $\mathbb{Q}$ and the complex multiplication theory of elliptic curves over imaginary quadratic fields.

3. EXAMPLES WITH IMAGINARY QUADRATIC SPLITTING FIELDS

In this section, we will provide some explicit examples with imaginary quadratic splitting fields over $\mathbb{Q}$ to explain how to apply the result in §2 to obtain the explicit conditions for $\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$. The explicit construction of the abelian extensions of the splitting fields with the required property is crucial. For the imaginary quadratic field case, such abelian extensions can be given by the complex multiplication of elliptic curves in principle (see Theorem 5.5 in [15]). We will keep the same notation as that in §2.

The method described in §2 is also the natural extension of Gauss' idea for determining the integral representation of the positive integers by a positive definite binary quadratic form. We explain this point by using one of the typical example $x^2 + dy^2$ with a positive integer d, which is studied in detail in [2]. Let $L = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{-d}$ be an order in $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{X}$ be the scheme defined by $x^2 + dy^2 = n$ for the positive integer n over $\mathbb{Z}$ and K_L be the ring class field corresponding to the order L. Then $\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there is*

$$\prod_{p\leq\infty} (x_p, y_p) \in \prod_{p\leq\infty} \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \quad \text{such that} \quad \psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[\prod_{p\leq\infty} (x_p, y_p)]) = 1$$

where $\psi_{K_L/E}$ is the Artin map and

$$\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)] = \begin{cases} (x_p + y_p\sqrt{-d}, x_p - y_p\sqrt{-d}) & \text{if } p \text{ splits in } E/\mathbb{Q} \\ x_p + y_p\sqrt{-d} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let p be a prime and L_p be the p-adic completion of L inside $E_p = E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$. Since the ring class field K_L of the order L corresponds to the open subgroup $E^{\times}(\prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^{\times})$ of $\mathbb{I}_E$ by the class field theory, one only needs to show that $\prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^{\times}$ is a L-admissible subgroup of $\mathbb{I}_E$ with $L_{\infty}^{\times} = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ by Corollary 2.18 and Remark 2.21.

Since $xL_p = L_p$ for $x \in E_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ if and only if $x \in L_p^{\times}$, one has

$$SO(L_p) = \{\alpha \in L_p^{\times} : \ N_{E_p/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\alpha) = 1\}.$$

The natural group homomorphism

$$\lambda_E : \quad SO_{\mathbb{A}}(V)/SO(V)SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L) \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_E/(E^{\times} \prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^{\times})$$

is well-defined.

Let $\alpha \in E^\times$ and $i \in \prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^\times$ such that $\alpha i \in SO_{\mathbb{A}}(V)$. Then

$$N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha i) = N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(i) = 1.$$

Since

$$N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^\times = \{\pm 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) > 0,$$

one concludes $N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(i) = 1$. This implies that

$$\alpha \in SO(V) \quad \text{and} \quad i \in SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L).$$

Therefore λ_E is injective and the proof is complete. $\square$

One can recover the following classical result (see Theorem 9.4 in [2]) which is obvious when $L = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{-d}$ is the ring of integers of E and K_L is the Hilbert class field of E. In general, for example d is not square free, one needs to study the class groups of orders which are not Dedekind domains (see [2]).

Corollary 3.2. *Let l be an odd prime not dividing d. The equation $x^2 + dy^2 = l$ is solvable over $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if l splits completely in K_L.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be the scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by the equation. By a simple computation, the local conditions for $\prod_{p\leq\infty} \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if l splits completely in E and

$$\begin{cases} (\frac{l}{q}) = 1 & \text{for each odd prime } q|d \\ l \equiv 1 \text{ or } d \mod 4 & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \\ l \equiv 1 \text{ or } d+1 \mod 8 & \text{if } \ d \equiv 2 \mod 4 \\ l \equiv 1 \mod 4 & \text{if } \ d \equiv 4 \mod 8 \\ l \equiv 1 \mod 8 & \text{if } 8 \mid d. \end{cases}$$

First we show that the above local conditions can be obtained when l splits completely in K_L. Indeed, for any odd prime $q|d$, $E(\sqrt{q^*})/E$ is unramified for all primes except the primes above q, where $q^* = (\frac{-1}{q})q$. To verify if $\sqrt{q^*} \in K_L$, one only needs to see if $\psi(x)(\sqrt{q^*}) = \sqrt{q^*}$ for all $x \in \prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^\times$, where ψ is the Artin map over E. This is equivalent to check if the product of the Hilbert symbols

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{P}} (q^*, x_{\mathfrak{P}})_{\mathfrak{P}} = 1$$

where $\mathfrak{P}$ runs over all primes of E and $x = (x_{\mathfrak{P}}) \in \prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^\times$. It is clear that $(q^*, x_{\mathfrak{P}})_{\mathfrak{P}} = 1$ for $\mathfrak{P} \nmid q$. Since $q \mid d$, one has

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{P}|q} (q^*, x_{\mathfrak{P}})_{\mathfrak{P}} = 1$$

by a simple computation. This implies that l splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q^*})$. Therefore

$$(\frac{q^*}{l}) = (\frac{l}{q}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (-d, l)_2 = \prod_{p\leq\infty} (-d, l)_p = 1$$

by the quadratic reciprocity law. One gets the local conditions for odd d and $d \equiv 2 \mod 4$ by $(-d, l)_2 = 1$.

If $d \equiv 4 \mod 8$, then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \subset K_L$ by the same argument as above. So $l \equiv 1 \mod 4$.

If $8 \mid d$, then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}) \subset K_L$. So $l \equiv 1 \mod 8$.

Let $(x_p, y_p) \in \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for $p \leq \infty$. Then $\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)] \in L_p^\times$ for $p \neq l$. Since l splits in $E/\mathbb{Q}$ by the local conditions and

$$(x_l + y_l\sqrt{-d})(x_l - y_l\sqrt{-d}) = l,$$

one can write $\mathfrak{L}_1$ and $\mathfrak{L}_2$ as two primes in E above l and assume $\tilde{f}_E[(x_l, y_l)]$ is a prime element at $E_{\mathfrak{L}_1}$ and a unit at $E_{\mathfrak{L}_2}$. By the class field theory, one concludes that $\mathfrak{L}_1$ splits in K_L/E if and only if

$$\psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p, y_p)]) = 1.$$

If l splits completely in $K_L/\mathbb{Q}$, then $\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$ by Proposition 3.1.

Conversely, choose two integral solutions (x_0, y_0) and $(x_0, -y_0)$ in $\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z})$. One obtains that both $\mathfrak{L}_1$ and $\mathfrak{L}_2$ split in K_L/E by the above argument. The proof is complete. □

We give one more example to explain that the method provided in the previous sections is beyond Gauss' method which only applies to the binary quadratic forms.

For any positive integer n, one can write $n = 2^s {p_1}^{e_1} \cdots {p_g}^{e_g}$ and define

$$D(n) = \{p_1, \cdots, p_g\}$$

$$D_1 = \{p \in D(n) : \ (\frac{-1}{p}) = -(\frac{2}{p}) = 1\}$$

$$D_2 = \{p \in D(n) : \ (\frac{-1}{p}) = (\frac{2}{p}) = 1 \text{ and } x^4 \equiv 2 \ mod\ p \ \text{ is not solvable}\}.$$

Example 3.3. *Let n be a positive integer with the above notation. Then the equation*

$$x^2 + 64y^2 + 64y + 16 = n$$

is solvable over $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $p_j \equiv 1 \mod 4$ for odd e_j with $1 \leq j \leq g$ and one of the following conditions holds

(1) $s = 0$ and $n \equiv 1 \mod 8$ and

$$D_1 \neq \emptyset \quad or \quad \sum_{p_j \in D_2} e_j \equiv 1 \mod 2 \text{ for } D_1 = \emptyset.$$

(2) $s = 2$ and $2^{-2}n \equiv 5 \mod 8$.

(3) $s = 4, 5$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be the scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by the equation. By a simple computation, the necessary and sufficient conditions for

$$\prod_{p\leq\infty} \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset$$

are $p_j \equiv 1 \mod 4$ for odd e_j with $1 \leq j \leq g$ and

$$\begin{cases} s = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad n \equiv 1 \mod 8 \\ s = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{-2}n \equiv 5 \mod 8 \\ s = 4, 5. \end{cases}$$

Let $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $L = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}8\sqrt{-1}$ be an order in E and $u_0 = 4\sqrt{-1}$. For any prime p, $E_p = E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and L_p is the p-adic completion of L inside E_p. Write $E_\infty = L_\infty = \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\lambda_E[SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L + u_0)] \subseteq \prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^\times$$

and the induced map

$$\lambda_E : \quad SO_{\mathbb{A}}(V)/SO(V)SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L+u_0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_E/E^\times(\prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^\times)$$

is injective by the same argument in Proposition 3.1. This implies that $\prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^\times$ is $(L+u_0)$-admissible. The abelian extension K_L of E corresponding to $E^\times \prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^\times$ is the ring class field of L. By Proposition 9.5 in [2], $K_L = E(\sqrt[4]{2})$. Then K_L/E is unramified at all places except 2 and 2 is totally ramified in $K_L/\mathbb{Q}$ and $Gal(K_L/E) = \mu_4$ where μ_4 is the set of all 4-th roots of unity.

Since $p \in L_2^\times$ for any odd prime p, one concludes that

$$1 = \begin{cases} \psi_{K_L/E}(p_{\mathfrak{P}}) & \text{if } p \text{ is inert in } E/\mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \mathfrak{P} \mid p \\ \psi_{K_L/E}(p_{\mathfrak{P}_1})\psi_{K_L/E}(p_{\mathfrak{P}_2}) & \text{if } p \text{ splits into } \mathfrak{P}_1 \text{ and } \mathfrak{P}_2 \text{ in } E/\mathbb{Q} \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

where $p_{\mathfrak{P}}$, $p_{\mathfrak{P}_1}$ and $p_{\mathfrak{P}_2}$ are in $\mathbb{I}_E$ such that their $\mathfrak{P}$, $\mathfrak{P}_1$ and $\mathfrak{P}_2$ components are p and the other components are 1 respectively and and $\psi_{K_L/E}$ is the Artin symbol defined in (2.17).

Define

$$\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)] = \begin{cases} (x_p + 4(2y_p+1)\sqrt{-1}, x_p - 4(2y_p+1)\sqrt{-1}) & \text{if } p \text{ splits in } E/\mathbb{Q} \\ x_p + 4(2y_p+1)\sqrt{-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any $(x_p, y_p) \in \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

If $(p, 2n) = 1$, then

$$\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)] \in L_p^\times \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)]) = 1,$$

where $\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)]$ is also regarded as an element in $\mathbb{I}_E$ such that the components above p are given by the value of $\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)]$ and 1 otherwise.

If p is inert in $E/\mathbb{Q}$ with $p \in D(n)$, then $\psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)]) = 1$ by (3.4).

If p splits in $E/\mathbb{Q}$ with $p \in D(n)$, then

$$\psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)]) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{e_j} & \text{if } p \in D_2 \\ (-1)^{a_j}(\pm\sqrt{-1})^{e_j} & \text{if } p \in D_1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

by (3.4), where

$$a_j = ord_{\mathfrak{P}_j}(x_{p_j} + 4(2y_{p_j}+1)\sqrt{-1})$$

with the fixed prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}_j$ in E above $p_j \in D_1$. By the Hensel's lemma, there are two local solutions $(x_{p_j}, y_{p_j}) \in \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_j})$ such that $a_j = 0$ and 1 respectively. Therefore one can rewrite (3.5) for $p_j \in D_1$ as

$$\psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_{p_j}, y_{p_j})]) = \begin{cases} \pm\sqrt{-1} & e_j \text{ is odd} \\ \pm 1 & e_j \text{ is even} \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

where the sign can be chosen as one wishes.

Suppose $s = 4$ or 5. Since $x^2 + (2y+1)^2 = 2^{-4}n$ is solvable over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the above local conditions, one concludes that $x^2 + 16(2y+1)^2 = n$ is solvable over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Suppose $s = 2$. Then the local solution $(x_2, y_2) \in \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ satisfies $x_2 \equiv 2 \mod 4$. Let v be the unique place of E over 2. Since

$$(2, x_2 + 4(2y_2+1)\sqrt{-1})_v = (2,2)_v \cdot (2, 2^{-1}x_2 + 2(2y_2+1)\sqrt{-1})_v = (2,5)_2 = -1$$

by (1.5.3) Proposition and (7.1.4) Corollary in [11], this implies that

$$\psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_2,y_2)]) = \pm\sqrt{-1}.$$

Since $2^{-2}n \equiv 5 \mod 8$, the number of primes p_j in D_1 with odd e_j is odd. Therefore there are even number of local primes p such that

$$\psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_p,y_p)]) = \pm\sqrt{-1}$$

by the above computation and (3.6). By choosing the sign properly, one concludes that there is

$$\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p) \in \prod_{p\leq\infty}\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \quad \text{such that} \quad \psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p)]) = 1.$$

Therefore $\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$ by Corollary 2.18.

Suppose $s = 0$. Then the local solution $(x_2,y_2) \in \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ satisfies $x_2 \equiv 1 \mod 2$. Let v be the unique place of E over 2. Since

$$\tilde{f}_E[(x_2,y_2)] = x_2 + 4(2y_2+1)\sqrt{-1} \equiv x_2 + 4\sqrt{-1} \equiv 1 + 4\sqrt{-1} \mod L_2^{\times},$$

and $(1+4\sqrt{-1}) \in E^{\times}$ with $(1+4\sqrt{-1})(1-4\sqrt{-1}) = 17$, one concludes that $\psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_2,y_2)])$ is equal to the Frobenius of a prime above 17 in $Gal(K_L/E)$ which is -1.

If $D_1 \neq \emptyset$, one has that either all e_j for $p_j \in D_1$ are even or the number of primes p_j in D_1 with odd e_j is even by using $n \equiv 1 \mod 8$. By (3.6) and choosing the sign properly, there is

$$\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p) \in \prod_{p\leq\infty}\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \quad \text{such that} \quad \psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p)]) = 1.$$

Therefore $\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$ by Corollary 2.18.

If $D_1 = \emptyset$, then

$$\psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p)]) = (-1)^{1+\sum_{p_j\in D_2} e_j}$$

by (3.5) for any $\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p) \in \prod_{p\leq\infty}\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. By Corollary 2.18, one concludes

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{p_j\in D_2} e_j \equiv 1 \mod 2$$

and the proof is complete. □

4. EXAMPLES WITH REAL QUADRATIC SPLITTING FIELDS

Gauss' method can not be applied to integral representations of indefinite binary quadratic quadratic forms in general either because the norms of the elements of real quadratic fields are not always positive and one can not decide the sign effectively. The typical example is to determine exactly when the negative Pell equation $x^2 - dy^2 = -1$ is solvable over $\mathbb{Z}$ for a positive integer d.

In order to apply the method in §2, for example, to determine all integers represented by $x^2 - dy^2$ for a positive integer d explicitly, one can take the order $L = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{d}$ inside $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and needs to determine the abelian extension of E corresponding to an L-admissible subgroup of $\mathbb{I}_E$ explicitly. There is no general theory for obtaining such abelian extensions for real quadratic fields but ad hoc method. We will keep the same notation as that in §2.

With some extra conditions, one still has the analogues result as Proposition 3.1 for real quadratic fields.

Proposition 4.1. *Let* $\mathbf{X}$ *be the scheme defined by* $x^2 - dy^2 = n$ *for some integer* n *and* K_L *be the ring class field corresponding to the order* L*. Suppose one of the following conditions holds:*

(1) The equation $x^2 - dy^2 = -1$ *has an integer solution.*

(2) The equation $x^2 - dy^2 = -1$ *has no local integral solutions at a prime.*

Then $\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$ *if and only if there is*

$$\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p, y_p) \in \prod_{p\leq\infty}\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \quad \textit{such that} \quad \psi_{K_L/E}(\tilde{f}_E[\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p, y_p)]) = 1$$

where $\psi_{K_L/E}$ *is the Artin map and*

$$\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)] = \begin{cases} (x_p + y_p\sqrt{d}, x_p - y_p\sqrt{d}) & \textit{if } p \textit{ splits in } E/\mathbb{Q} \\ x_p + y_p\sqrt{d} & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument as that in Proposition 3.1. □

When d is a prime, the condition (1) or (2) in Proposition 4.1 will be satisfied. For $d = 2l$ with a prime l, the condition (2) in Proposition 4.1 holds at prime 2 if $l \equiv 3 \mod 4$ and the condition (1) in Proposition 4.1 holds if $l \equiv 5 \mod 8$ by [4]. Such cases can also be treated by using the Gauss' method.

However the Gauss' method only uses the ring class fields to detect which ideals are principal. Now we consider the case beyond the Gauss' method. Let l be a prime with $l \equiv 1 \mod 8$. We will see that the ring class field of the order L is sometimes not enough for solving the equation $x^2 - 2ly^2 = n$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Fix an integral solution (x_0, y_0, z_0) of the equation $x^2 - 2ly^2 = 2z^2$ such that $x_0 > 0$ and $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = 1$ by the Hasse principle. Let $\Theta = E(\sqrt{x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l}})$. Then Θ is totally real and Θ/E is unramified over all primes except the prime above 2 and 2 is totally ramified in $\Theta/\mathbb{Q}$. This Θ will play role for solving the equation $x^2 - 2ly^2 = n$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* l *be a prime with* $l \equiv 1 \mod 8$ *satisfying* $2l = r^2 + s^2$ *for two integers* r *and* s *with* $s \equiv \pm 3 \mod 8$*. If* x_2 *and* y_2 *in* $\mathbb{Q}_2$ *satisfy* $x_2^2 - 2ly_2^2 = -1$*, then the Hilbert symbol*

$$(x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2l}, x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l})_v = -1$$

where v *is the unique prime in* E *above* 2 *and* (x_0, y_0) *is given as above.*

Proof. For any $\xi \in E_v^\times$ with $N_{L_v/\mathbb{Q}_2}(\xi) = 1$, there exists $\beta \in E_v^\times$ such that $\xi = \sigma(\beta)\beta^{-1}$ by Hilbert 90, where σ is the non-trivial element in $Gal(E_v/\mathbb{Q}_2)$. Then

$$(\xi, x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l})_v = (\sigma(\beta)\beta^{-1}, x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l})_v$$
$$= (N_{E_v/\mathbb{Q}_2}(\beta), x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l})_v = (N_{E_v/\mathbb{Q}_2}(\beta), 2)_2$$

by (1.5.3) Proposition and (7.1.4) Corollary in [11]. Since $l \equiv 1 \mod 8$ and $E_v = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{2l})$, one has

$$(\xi, x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l})_v = (N_{E_v/\mathbb{Q}_2}(\beta), 2)_2 = (N_{E_v/\mathbb{Q}_2}(\beta), 2l)_2 = 1.$$

Therefore

$$(x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2l}, x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l})_v = ((r - \sqrt{2l})s^{-1}, x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l})_v$$
$$= (r - \sqrt{2l}, x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l})_v(s, x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l})_v$$
$$= (r - \sqrt{2l}, x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l})_v(s, 2z_0^2)_2 = -(r - \sqrt{2l}, x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l})_v.$$

By the Hilbert reciprocity law, one has

$$(r-\sqrt{2l}, x_0-y_0\sqrt{2l})_v=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\neq v}(r-\sqrt{2l}, x_0-y_0\sqrt{2l})_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Since

$$(r-\sqrt{2l})(r+\sqrt{2l})=-s^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (x_0-y_0\sqrt{2l})(x_0+y_0\sqrt{2l})=2z_0^2$$

with $(r,s)=1$ and $(x_0,y_0,z_0)=1$ respectively, one has

$$ord_{\mathfrak{p}}(r-\sqrt{2l})\equiv ord_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_0-y_0\sqrt{2l})\equiv 0 \mod 2$$

for $\mathfrak{p}\neq v$ and $\mathfrak{p}<\infty_E$. Since $x_0-y_0\sqrt{2l}>0$ over $\mathfrak{p}\in\infty_E$, one obtains that

$$(r-\sqrt{2l}, x_0-y_0\sqrt{2l})_{\mathfrak{p}}=1$$

for all $\mathfrak{p}\neq v$. One concludes that $(x_2+y_2\sqrt{2l}, x_0-y_0\sqrt{2l})_v=-1$. ☐

The following result was first proved in [5] by using the continued fractional method and was reproved in [14] by using the reciprocity law in a quite complicated way. So the Brauer-Manin obstruction was already indicated in [14].

Corollary 4.3. *[Epstein - Rédei] If l is a prime with $l\equiv 1 \mod 8$ satisfying $2l=r^2+s^2$ for two integers r and s with $s\equiv \pm 3 \mod 8$, then the equation $x^2-2ly^2=-1$ is not solvable over $\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be the scheme defined by $x^2-2ly^2=-1$. Then

$$\psi_{\Theta/E}(\tilde{f}_E[\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p)])=(x_2+y_2\sqrt{2l}, x_0-y_0\sqrt{2l})_v=-1$$

for any $\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p)\in\prod_{p\leq\infty}\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ by Lemma 4.2. The result follows from Remark 2.19 and Remark 2.21. ☐

By using Lemma 4.2, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.4. *Let l be a prime as in Lemma 4.2 and $\mathbf{X}$ be the scheme defined by $x^2-2ly^2=n$ for some integer n over $\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z})\neq\emptyset$ if and only if there is*

$$\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p)\in\prod_{p\leq\infty}\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

such that

$$\psi_{H/E}(\tilde{f}_E[\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p)])=1 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\Theta/E}(\tilde{f}_E[\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p)])=1$$

where H is the Hilbert class field of E, Θ is the quadratic extension of E defined as above, both $\psi_{H/E}$ and $\psi_{\Theta/E}$ are Artin maps and

$$\tilde{f}_E[(x_p,y_p)]=\begin{cases}(x_p+y_p\sqrt{2l}, x_p-y_p\sqrt{2l}) & \text{if } p \text{ splits in } E/\mathbb{Q}\\ x_p+y_p\sqrt{2l} & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $L=\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\sqrt{2l}$ be the maximal order of E. For any prime p, $E_p=E\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}_p$ and L_p is the p-adic completion of L inside E_p. Write $E_\infty=L_\infty=\mathbb{R}$.

Let v be the unique prime of E above 2. By the computation in Lemma 4.2, one has $(\xi, x_0-y_0\sqrt{2l})_v=1$ for any $\xi\in E_v^\times$ with $N_{E_v/\mathbb{Q}_2}(\xi)=1$. This implies that

$$\lambda_E(SO(L_2))\subseteq N_{\Theta_{\mathfrak{V}}/E_v}(\Theta_{\mathfrak{V}}^\times)$$

where $\mathfrak{V}$ is the unique prime of Θ above v. Since Θ/E is unramified over all primes except v, one concludes that $\lambda_E(SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L)) \subseteq N_{\Theta/E}(\mathbb{I}_\Theta)$ where $\mathbb{I}_\Theta$ is the idele group of Θ. Therefore the natural group homomorphism

$$\lambda_E: \ SO_{\mathbb{A}}(V)/SO(V)SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L) \longrightarrow [\mathbb{I}_E/(E^\times N_{\Theta/E}(\mathbb{I}_\Theta))] \times [\mathbb{I}_E/(E^\times \prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^\times)]$$

is well-defined.

Let $u \in ker\lambda_E$. Then there are $\alpha \in E^\times$ and $i \in \prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^\times$ with $\lambda_E(u) = \alpha i$. Therefore

$$N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(i)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (\prod_{p\leq\infty} L_p^\times) = \{\pm 1\}.$$

Suppose $N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \neq 1$. Then $N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(i) = -1$. Write $i = (i_\mathfrak{p})_\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{I}_E$. Since Θ/E is unramified over all primes of E except v, one has $\psi_{\Theta/E}(i_\mathfrak{p})$ is trivial for all primes $\mathfrak{p} \neq v$, where $i_\mathfrak{p}$ is regarded as an idele whose $\mathfrak{p}$-component is $i_\mathfrak{p}$ and 1 otherwise. Since $N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(i_v) = N_{E_v/\mathbb{Q}_2}(i_v) = -1$, one gets

$$\psi_{\Theta/E}(\alpha i) = \psi_{\Theta/E}(i) = \psi_{\Theta/E}(i_v) = -1$$

by Lemma 4.2. This contradicts to $u \in ker\lambda_E$.

Therefore $N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(i) = 1$. This implies that $\alpha \in SO(V)$ and $i \in SO_{\mathbb{A}}(L)$. One concludes that λ_E is injective. The result follows from Corollary 2.20. ☐

Finally we will use Proposition 4.4 to give an explicit example. For any integer n, one can write $n = (-1)^{s_0}2^{s_1}17^{s_2}{p_1}^{e_1}\cdots {p_g}^{e_g}$ and $D(n) = \{p_1, \cdots, p_g\}$. Decompose $D(n)$ into the disjoint union of the following subsets

$$D_1 = \{p \in D(n): \ (\frac{2}{p}) = (\frac{17}{p}) = -1\} \ \text{ and } \ D_2 = \{p \in D(n): \ (\frac{34}{p}) = -1\}$$

$$D_3 = \{p \in D(n): \ (\frac{2}{p}) = (\frac{17}{p}) = 1 \text{ and } x^4 - 12x^2 + 2 \equiv 0 \ mod \ p \ \text{ is solvable}\}$$

$$D_4 = \{p \in D(n): \ (\frac{2}{p}) = (\frac{17}{p}) = 1 \text{ and } x^4 - 12x^2 + 2 \equiv 0 \ mod \ p \ \text{ is not solvable}\}.$$

Let

$$n_1 = (-1)^{s_0} \prod_{p_i \in D(n)\setminus D_2} p_i^{e_i}.$$

Example 4.5. *Let n be an integer with the above notation. Then the equation*

$$x^2 - 34y^2 = n$$

is solvable over $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if

(1) $n_1 \equiv \pm 1 \mod 8$, $(\frac{n_1}{17}) = 1$ and $(\frac{34}{p_i}) = 1$ for odd e_i

(2) $D_1 \neq \emptyset$; or

$$\sum_{p_i \in D_4} e_i \equiv \begin{cases} s_2 \mod 2 & \textit{if } n_1 \equiv 1, -9 \mod 16 \\ s_2 + 1 \mod 2 & \textit{if } n_1 \equiv -1, 9 \mod 16 \end{cases}$$

for $D_1 = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be the scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by the equation. By a simple computation, one has the condition (1) is equivalent to $\prod_{p\leq\infty} \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset$.

Since $34 = 5^2 + 3^2$, Proposition 4.4 can be applied. Moreover the Hilbert class field H of $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{34})$ is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{17})$. Since the equation $x^2 - 34y^2 = 2$ has an integral solution for $x=6$ and $y=1$, one can choose $\Theta = E(\sqrt{6-\sqrt{34}})$. For simplicity, we will denote both $Gal(\Theta/E)$ and $Gal(H/E)$ as $\mu_2=\{\pm 1\}$.

Define

$$\tilde{f}_E[(x_p,y_p)] = \begin{cases} (x_p+y_p\sqrt{34}, x_p-y_p\sqrt{34}) & \text{if } p \text{ splits in } E/\mathbb{Q} \\ x_p+y_p\sqrt{34} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any $(x_p,y_p)\in \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Then

$$\psi_{H/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_p,y_p)]) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{e_i} & \text{if } p\in D_1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $n_1\equiv \pm 1 \mod 8$ by the local condition (1), one always has $\sum_{p_i\in D_1} e_i \equiv 0 \mod 2$. This implies that

$$\psi_{H/E}(\tilde{f}_E[\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p)]) = 1 \quad \text{for any } \prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p)\in \prod_{p\leq\infty}\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Next we compute $\psi_{\Theta/E}$. Let p be a prime which splits into $\mathfrak{P}_1$ and $\mathfrak{P}_2$ over E.

If $(\frac{2}{p})=-1$, then one of $\mathfrak{P}_1$ and $\mathfrak{P}_2$ splits in Θ/E and the other is inert in Θ/E.

If $(\frac{2}{p})=1$ and $x^4-12x^2+2\equiv 0 \mod p$ is solvable, then both $\mathfrak{P}_1$ and $\mathfrak{P}_2$ split in Θ/E.

If $(\frac{2}{p})=1$ and $x^4-12x^2+2\equiv 0 \mod p$ is not solvable, then both $\mathfrak{P}_1$ and $\mathfrak{P}_2$ are inert in Θ/E.

For any $(x_p,y_p)\in\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, one has

$$\psi_{\Theta/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_p,y_p)]) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (p,34n)=1 \text{ or } p\in D_3 \\ (-1)^{s_2} & \text{if } p=17 \\ (-1)^{a_i} & \text{if } p\in D_1 \\ (\frac{2}{p})^{\frac{1}{2}e_i} & \text{if } p\in D_2 \\ (-1)^{e_i} & \text{if } p\in D_4 \end{cases}$$

where $a_i = ord_{\mathfrak{P}_i}(x_{p_i}+y_{p_i}\sqrt{34})$ and $\mathfrak{P}_i$ is the prime of E above $p_i\in D_1$ which is inert in Θ/E. For $p_i\in D_2$, one has $e_i\equiv 0 \mod 2$ by the local condition (1).

When $D_1\neq\emptyset$, there are two local solutions $(x_{p_i},y_{p_i})\in\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i})$ such that $a_i=0$ and 1 respectively for any $p_i\in D_1$ by the Hensel's Lemma. This implies that there is $\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p)\in\prod_{p\leq\infty}\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that

$$\psi_{\Theta/E}(\tilde{f}_E[\prod_{p\leq\infty}(x_p,y_p)]) = 1.$$

When $D_1=\emptyset$, one needs further to compute $\psi_{\Theta/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_2,y_2)])$. Since there is $\delta\in\mathbb{Z}_2^\times$ with

$$\delta \equiv \begin{cases} \pm 1 \mod 8 & \text{if } n_1\equiv \pm 1 \mod 16 \\ \pm 3 \mod 8 & \text{if } n_1 \equiv \pm 9 \mod 16 \end{cases}$$

such that

$$\delta^2 = \begin{cases} 17^{s_2}n_1 & \text{if } n_1\equiv 1,\ 9 \mod 16 \\ -17^{s_2}n_1 & \text{if } n_1\equiv -1,\ -9 \mod 16 \end{cases}$$

by the Hensel's lemma, one has

$$N_{E_v/\mathbb{Q}_2}(\beta^{s_1}\delta\prod_{p_i\in D_2}p_i^{\frac{1}{2}e_i}) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n_1\equiv 1,\ 9 \mod 16 \\ -n & \text{if } n_1\equiv -1,\ -9 \mod 16 \end{cases}$$

where v is the unique prime of E above 2 and $\beta = 6-\sqrt{34}$. For any $(x_2, y_2)\in \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, one has

$$\psi_{\Theta/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_2,y_2)]) = \begin{cases} (\beta^{s_1}\delta\prod_{p_i\in D_2} p_i^{\frac{1}{2}e_i},\beta)_v & \text{if } n_1\equiv 1,\ 9 \mod 16\\ -(\beta^{s_1}\delta\prod_{p_i\in D_2} p_i^{\frac{1}{2}e_i},\beta)_v & \text{if } n_1\equiv -1,\ -9 \mod 16\end{cases}$$

by the computation in Lemma 4.2. Since

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta^{s_1}\delta\prod_{p_i\in D_2} p_i^{\frac{1}{2}e_i},\beta)_v &= (\beta,\beta)_v^{s_1}(\delta\prod_{p_i\in D_2} p_i^{\frac{1}{2}e_i},\beta)_v\\ =&(\beta,-1)_v^{s_1}(\delta\prod_{p_i\in D_2} p_i^{\frac{1}{2}e_i},2)_2 = (2,-1)_2^{s_1}(\delta\prod_{p_i\in D_2} p_i^{\frac{1}{2}e_i},2)_2\\ =&(\delta,2)_2\prod_{p_i\in D_2}(\frac{2}{p_i})^{\frac{1}{2}e_i} = \begin{cases}\prod_{p_i\in D_2}(\frac{2}{p_i})^{\frac{1}{2}e_i} & \text{if } n_1\equiv\pm 1 \mod 16\\ -\prod_{p_i\in D_2}(\frac{2}{p_i})^{\frac{1}{2}e_i} & \text{if } n_1\equiv \pm 9 \mod 16,\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

one concludes that

$$\psi_{\Theta/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_2,y_2)]) = \begin{cases}\prod_{p_i\in D_2}(\frac{2}{p_i})^{\frac{1}{2}e_i} & \text{if } n_1\equiv 1,\ -9 \mod 16\\ -\prod_{p_i\in D_2}(\frac{2}{p_i})^{\frac{1}{2}e_i} & \text{if } n_1\equiv -1,\ 9 \mod 16\end{cases}$$

for any $(x_2,y_2)\in\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. By Proposition 4.4, $\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z})\neq\emptyset$ if and only if

$$\prod_{p_i\in D_4}(-1)^{e_i}\prod_{p_i\in D_2}(\frac{2}{p_i})^{\frac{1}{2}e_i} = \begin{cases}(-1)^{s_2}\prod_{p_i\in D_2}(\frac{2}{p_i})^{\frac{1}{2}e_i} & \text{if } n_1\equiv 1,-9 \mod 16\\ (-1)^{s_2+1}\prod_{p_i\in D_2}(\frac{2}{p_i})^{\frac{1}{2}e_i} & \text{if } n_1\equiv -1,9 \mod 16.\end{cases}$$

The proof is complete. □

5. Higher dimensional multi-norm tori

In this section, we extend the explicit construction in §2 to the higher dimensional multi-norm tori and the more general integral model $\mathbf{X}$.

Let $E_1,\cdots,E_n$ be the finite extensions of F and

$$\varphi : R_{E_1/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)\times\cdots\times R_{E_n/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)\longrightarrow\mathbb{G}_m$$

be a group homomorphism which represents

$$(E_1\otimes_F A)^\times\times\cdots\times(E_n\otimes_F A)^\times\to A^\times;\quad (x_1,\dots,x_n)\mapsto\prod_{i=1}^n N_{E_i/F}(x_i)$$

for any F-algebra A. Let $G=\mathrm{Ker}\varphi$ and $\mathbf{X}$ be a separated $\mathfrak{o}_F$-scheme of finite type such that the generic fiber X_F of $\mathbf{X}$ is a trivial torsor over F under G.

Since any element $x\in G(A)$ is represented by

$$(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in\prod_{i=1}^n(E_i\otimes A)^\times\quad\text{with}\quad\prod_{i=1}^n N_{E_i/F}(x_i)=1$$

for any F-algebra A, one can define

$$\lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}:\quad G(A)\longrightarrow\prod_{i=1}^n(E_i\otimes A)^\times;\quad x\mapsto(x_1,\dots,x_n).$$

Definition 5.1. *An open subgroup* Ξ *of* $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{E_i}$ *is called* **X***-admissible if*

$$\lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}[Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X})] \subseteq \Xi$$

and the induced map

$$\lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}: \quad G(\mathbb{A}_F)/G(F)Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{E_i}/(\prod_{i=1}^n E_i^\times)\cdot\Xi$$

is injective, where $Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X})$ *is defined as Definition 1.2.*

First we need to extend Lemma 2.12 to the higher dimensional cases.

Lemma 5.2. *If* $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *is an open subgroup of* $G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ *and* k *is a positive integer, then*

$$\lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}(C_{\mathfrak{p}})\cdot\{(a,\dots,a):\ a\in (F_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times)^k\}$$

is an open subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^n E_{i_{\mathfrak{p}}}^\times$ *for* $\mathfrak{p}$*-adic topology with* $\mathfrak{p}<\infty_F$.

Proof. Since $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an open subgroup of $G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$, there are positive integers $a_1,\dots,a_n$ such that

$$[\prod_{i=1}^n (1+\mathfrak{p}^{a_i}\mathfrak{o}_{E_{i_{\mathfrak{p}}}})]\cap\lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}(G(F_{\mathfrak{p}}))\subseteq\lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

By Hensel's lemma, there is a sufficiently large positive integer $b_i>a_i$ such that

$$(1+\mathfrak{p}^{b_i}\mathfrak{o}_{E_{i_{\mathfrak{p}}}})\subseteq(1+\mathfrak{p}^{a_i}\mathfrak{o}_{E_{i_{\mathfrak{p}}}})^{lk}$$

where $l=\sum_{i=1}^n l_i$ and $l_i=[E_i:F]$ for all $1\le i\le n$.

If

$$(x_i)_{i=1}^n\in\prod_{i=1}^n(1+\mathfrak{p}^{b_i}\mathfrak{o}_{E_{i_{\mathfrak{p}}}}),$$

then

$$(x_i)_{i=1}^n=(y_i^{lk})_{i=1}^n \quad \text{with} \quad (y_i)_{i=1}^n\in\prod_{i=1}^n(1+\mathfrak{p}^{a_i}\mathfrak{o}_{E_{i_{\mathfrak{p}}}}).$$

Since

$$y_i^{lk}=[y_i^{lk}(\prod_{i=1}^n N_{E_i/F}(y_i))^{-k}]\cdot[\prod_{i=1}^n N_{E_i/F}(y_i)]^k$$

and

$$([y_i^{lk}(\prod_{i=1}^n N_{E_i/F}(y_i))^{-k}])_{i=1}^n\in\lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

one concludes that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n(1+\mathfrak{p}^{b_i}\mathfrak{o}_{E_{i_{\mathfrak{p}}}})\subseteq\lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}(C_{\mathfrak{p}})\cdot\{(a,\dots,a):\ a\in(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times)^k\}$$

and the proof is complete. □

Now we can prove the existence of the admissible subgroups for **X**.

Theorem 5.3. *The* **X***-admissible subgroups of* $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{E_i}$ *always exist.*

Proof. Since X_F is a trivial torsor over F under G, there is a finite subset $S_0 \subset (\Omega_F \setminus \infty_F)$ and a model $\mathbf{G}$ of G over $\mathfrak{o}_{S_0}$ such that $\mathbf{X}_{S_0}$ is a trivial torsor over $\mathfrak{o}_{S_0}$ under $\mathbf{G}$, where $\mathfrak{o}_{S_0}$ is the S_0-integers of F and $\mathbf{X}_{S_0} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathfrak{o}_F} \mathfrak{o}_{S_0}$. This implies that

$$\lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}[Stab(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}))] = ker(\prod_{i=1}^n N_{E_i/F} : \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{o}_{E_{i\mathfrak{p}}}^\times \longrightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times)$$

for every $\mathfrak{p} \notin S_0$.

Let $l = \sum_{i=1}^n l_i$ with $l_i = [E_i : F]$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in S_0$ and a prime divisor q of l, one defines $t_\mathfrak{p}(q)$ to be the maximal positive integer t such that the primitive q^t-th roots of unity are in $F_\mathfrak{p}$. Let

$$t_\mathfrak{p} = \max\{t_\mathfrak{p}(q) : \text{ the prime divisor } q \text{ of } l\} \quad \text{and} \quad r = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S_0} (t_\mathfrak{p} + 1).$$

Let T_0 be a finite subset of Ω_F outside ∞_F such that Lemma 2.13 holds for $k = l^{r+1}$. Put $S = S_0 \cup T_0$ and

$$\Xi = [\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}(Stab(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}))) \cdot H_\mathfrak{p}] \times (\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} \mathfrak{o}_{E_{i\mathfrak{p}}}^\times)$$

where $H_\mathfrak{p} = \{(a, \dots, a) : a \in (F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^{2l^r h_F}\}$ and h_F is the class number of F. By Lemma 5.2, one has that Ξ is an open subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{E_i}$ and

$$\lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}[Stab_\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{X})] \subseteq \Xi.$$

Suppose $\sigma \in G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ with $\lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}(\sigma) = ai$ where

$$a = (a_i)_{i=1}^n \in \prod_{i=1}^n E_i^\times \quad \text{and} \quad i \in \Xi.$$

Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n N_{E_i/F}(a_i) \in [\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} (F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^{2l^{r+1} h_F} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times].$$

By Lemma 2.13, there is $u \in F^\times$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^n N_{E_i/F}(a_i) = u^{l^{r+1}}$. This implies that

$$(a_1 u^{-l^r}, \dots, a_n u^{-l^r}) \in \lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}(G(F)).$$

Write $i = (i_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F}$ and $i_\mathfrak{p} = s_\mathfrak{p} \cdot (n_\mathfrak{p}, \dots, n_\mathfrak{p})$ with

$$s_\mathfrak{p} \in \lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}(Stab(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})) \quad \text{and} \quad n_\mathfrak{p} \in (F_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^{2l^r h_F}$$

for $\mathfrak{p} \in S$. Therefore $u^{l^{r+1}} n_\mathfrak{p}^l = 1$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in S$.

If $\mathfrak{p} \in S_0$, one has that $u^{l^r} n_\mathfrak{p} = 1$ by the maximality of $t_\mathfrak{p}$.

If $\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus S_0$, one has

$$u^{l^r}(n_\mathfrak{p}, \dots, n_\mathfrak{p}) \in ker(\prod_{i=1}^n N_{E_i/F} : \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{o}_{E_{i\mathfrak{p}}}^\times \longrightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times).$$

Therefore one concludes that

$$u^{l^r} i \in \lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}[Stab_\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{X})] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \in G(F) Stab_\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{X})$$

and the proof is complete. □

Since $X_F(F)\neq\emptyset$, one can fix a rational point $P\in X_F(F)$ to induce the isomorphism $X_F\cong G$. Since $\mathbf{X}$ is separated over $\mathfrak{o}_F$, the natural morphism

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})\longrightarrow X_F(\mathbb{A}_F)$$

is injective. Combining with $\lambda_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}$, one can define

$$f_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}:\quad \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})\to X_F(\mathbb{A}_F)\cong G(\mathbb{A}_F)\hookrightarrow\prod_{i=1}^n\mathbb{I}_{E_i}.$$

One can extend Theorem 2.16 to the higher dimensional multi-norm tori and the more general integral model.

Theorem 5.4. *Let Ξ be an $\mathbf{X}$-admissible subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^n\mathbb{I}_{E_i}$. Then*

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F)\neq\emptyset\qquad\textit{if and only if}\qquad f_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}[\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})]\cap[(\prod_{i=1}^n E_i^\times)\cdot\Xi]\neq\emptyset.$$

Proof. It follows from the same argument as those in Theorem 2.16. □

If Ξ is an $\mathbf{X}$-admissible subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^n\mathbb{I}_{E_i}$, there is an open subgroup Ξ_i of $\mathbb{I}_{E_i}$ for each $1\le i\le n$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^n\Xi_i\subseteq\Xi$. By the class field theory, there is a finite abelian extension K_{Ξ_i}/E_i such that the Artin map

$$\psi_{K_{\Xi_i}/E_i}:\quad \mathbb{I}_{E_i}/E_i^\times\Xi_i\cong Gal(K_{\Xi_i}/E_i)\tag{5.5}$$

gives the isomorphism for $1\le i\le n$. Projecting the image of $f_{(E_1,\dots,E_n)}$ to $\mathbb{I}_{E_i}$, one can define

$$f_{E_i}:\quad\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})\longrightarrow\prod_{j=1}^n\mathbb{I}_{E_j}\longrightarrow\mathbb{I}_{E_i}$$

for $1\le i\le n$.

Corollary 5.6. *With the notation as above, $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F)\neq\emptyset$ if and only if there is*

$$x_A\in\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})\quad\textit{such that}\quad\psi_{K_{\Xi_i}/E_i}(f_{E_i}(x_A))=1$$

in $Gal(K_{\Xi_i}/E_i)$ for all $1\le i\le n$.

Proof. Since $\psi_{K_{\Xi_i}/E_i}(f_{E_i}(x_A))=1$ for $1\le i\le n$, one has

$$f_{E_1,\dots,E_n}(x_A)\in(\prod_{i=1}^n E_i^\times)\cdot(\prod_{i=1}^n\Xi_i)\subseteq(\prod_{i=1}^n E_i^\times)\cdot\Xi.$$

Then the result follows from the same argument as those in Theorem 2.16. □

Instead of interpreting Theorem 5.4 in terms of the Brauer-Manin obstruction, we will provide an explicit example to explain our previous construction by modifying Proposition 4.4.

Fix an integral solution (x_0,y_0,z_0) of the equation $x^2-2ly^2=2z^2$ for $l\equiv1\mod 8$ such that $x_0>0$ and $(x_0,y_0,z_0)=1$. Let

$$L=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2l})\quad\text{and}\quad\Theta=L(\sqrt{x_0-y_0\sqrt{2l}}).$$

Then Θ is totally real and Θ/L is unramified over all primes except the prime above 2 and 2 is totally ramified in $\Theta/\mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 5.7. *Let* l *be a prime with* $l \equiv 1 \mod 8$ *satisfying* $2l = r^2 + s^2$ *for two integers* r *and* s *with* $s \equiv \pm 3 \mod 8$ *and* Θ *and* L *be as above. Suppose* E *is a field containing* L *and* $\mathbf{X}$ *is the scheme over* $\mathbb{Z}$ *defined by the equation*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_s e_k) = n$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ *is a basis of* $\mathfrak{o}_E$ *over* $\mathbb{Z}$ *and* n *is an integer. Then* $\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$ *if and only if* $X_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ *and there is*

$$\prod_{p \leq \infty} (x_{1p}, \dots, x_{kp}) \in \prod_{p \leq \infty} \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

such that both

$$\psi_{H/E}[\prod_{p \leq \infty} (x_{1p} e_1 + \cdots + x_{kp} e_k)] \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\Theta/L}[\prod_{p \leq \infty} (N_{E/L}(x_{1p} e_1 + \cdots + x_{kp} e_k))]$$

are trivial, where H *is the Hilbert class field of* E *and both* $\psi_{H/E}$ *and* $\psi_{\Theta/L}$ *are the Artin maps.*

Proof. Since $G = R^1_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{G}_m)$, one has

$$Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X}) = \{(x_p) \in \prod_{p \leq \infty} \mathfrak{o}_{E_p}^\times : \ N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}((x_p)) = 1 \in \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}\}.$$

By the computation in Lemma 4.2, one has $(\xi, x_0 - y_0\sqrt{2l})_v = 1$ for any $\xi \in L_v^\times$ with $N_{L_v/\mathbb{Q}_2}(\xi) = 1$ where v is the unique prime of L above 2. This implies that

$$N_{E/L}(Stab(\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{Z}_2)) \subseteq N_{\Theta_{\mathfrak{V}}/L_v}(\Theta_{\mathfrak{V}}^\times)$$

where $\mathfrak{V}$ is the unique prime of Θ above v. Since Θ/L is unramified over all primes except v, one concludes that

$$N_{E/L}(Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X})) \subseteq N_{\Theta/L}(\mathbb{I}_\Theta)$$

where $\mathbb{I}_\Theta$ is the idele group of Θ. Then the group homomorphism

$$f_E : \ G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})/G(\mathbb{Q})Stab_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow [\mathbb{I}_L/(L^\times N_{\Theta/L}(\mathbb{I}_\Theta))] \times [\mathbb{I}_E/(E^\times \prod_{p \leq \infty} \mathfrak{o}_{E_p}^\times)]$$

$$(x_p)_{p \leq \infty} \mapsto (N_{E/L}(x_p), (x_p))_{p \leq \infty}$$

is well-defined. By Lemma 4.2 and the same argument in Proposition 4.4, one obtains that f_E is injective and the result follows. □

For any integer n, one can write

$$n = (-1)^{s_0} 2^{s_1} 17^{s_2} {p_1}^{e_1} \cdots {p_g}^{e_g} \quad \text{and} \quad D(n) = \{p_1, \cdots, p_g\}.$$

Decompose $D(n)$ into the disjoint union of the following subsets

$$D_1 = \{p \in D(n) : \ (\frac{2}{p}) = (\frac{17}{p}) = -1\} \ \text{ and } \ D_2 = \{p \in D(n) : \ (\frac{34}{p}) = -1\}$$

$$D_3 = \{p \in D(n) : \ (\frac{2}{p}) = (\frac{17}{p}) = 1 \text{ and } x^4 - 12x^2 + 2 \equiv 0 \ mod \ p \ \text{ is solvable}\}$$

$$D_4 = \{p \in D(n) : \ (\frac{2}{p}) = (\frac{17}{p}) = 1 \text{ and } x^4 - 12x^2 + 2 \equiv 0 \ mod \ p \ \text{ is not solvable}\}.$$

Let

$$n_1 = (-1)^{s_0} \prod_{p_i \in D(n) \setminus D_2} p_i^{e_i}.$$

One can have one more explicit example.

Example 5.8. *Let n be an integer with the above notation and $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{34})$. Then $n \in N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{o}_E)$ if and only if*

(1) $s_1 \equiv s_2 \equiv 0 \mod 2$, $n_1 \equiv \pm 1 \mod 8$, $(\frac{n_1}{17}) = 1$ and $(\frac{34}{p_i}) = (\frac{5}{p_i}) = 1$ for odd e_i

(2) $D_1 \neq \emptyset$; or

$$\sum_{p_i \in D_4} e_i \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \mod 2 & \text{if } n_1 \equiv 1, -9 \mod 16 \\ 1 \mod 2 & \text{if } n_1 \equiv -1, 9 \mod 16 \end{cases}$$

for $D_1 = \emptyset$.

Proof. We apply Proposition 5.7 by taking

$$E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{34}), \quad L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{34}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta = L(\sqrt{6 - \sqrt{34}}).$$

The Hilbert class field of E is $E(\sqrt{2})$.

The local condition $n \in N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{o}_{E_p})$ for all primes $p \leq \infty$ is equivalent to the condition (1). Since $Gal(E/\mathbb{Q}) = Gal(E_v/\mathbb{Q}_2)$, one concludes that $n \in N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(E^\times)$ by Theorem 6.11 in [13].

If $(x_p)_{p \leq \infty}$ is the local solution with $x_p \in \mathfrak{o}_{E_p}$ and $N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(x_p) = n$ for all $p \leq \infty$, one can verify that $\psi_{H/E}((x_p)_{p \leq \infty}) = 1$. The computation of

$$\psi_{\Theta/L}[(N_{E/L}(x_p))_{p \leq \infty}]$$

is the same as that in Example 4.5. □

Acknowledgment *We would like to thank Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène for introducing us to study the Brauer-Manin obstruction and a lot of helpful discussion. He also pointed out a flaw in the original version of the paper. We would also like to thank David Harari for sending us his paper* [6]. *The work is supported by the Morningside Center of Mathematics. The first author is supported by NSFC, grant # 10671104 and the second author is supported by NSFC, grant # 10325105 and # 10531060.*

REFERENCES

[1] M.Borovoi and Z.Rudnick, *Hardy-Littlewood varieties and semisimple groups*, Invent. Math. **119** (1995), 37 –66.

[2] D.A.Cox, *Primes of the Form $x^2 + ny^2$*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1989.

[3] J-L.Colliot-Thélène and F. Xu, *Brauer-Manin obstruction for integral points of homogeneous spaces and representations by integral quadratic forms*, Compositio Math. (to appear).

[4] G.L.Dirichlet, *Einige neue Sätze über unbestimmte Gleichungen*, "Werke" **I** (1920), 221–236.

[5] P.Epstein, *Zur Auflösbarkeit der Gleichung $x^2 - Dy^2 = -1$*, J. reine und angew. Math. **171** (1934), 243 –252.

[6] D. Harari, *Le défaut d'approximation forte pour les groups algébriques commutatifs*, Algebra and Number Theory **2** (2008), no. 5, 595–611.

[7] D. Harari and T. Szamuely, *Arithmetic duality theorems for 1-motives*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle) **578** (2005), 93–128.

[8] ———, *Local-global principles for 1-motives*, Duke Math. J. **143** (2008), no. 3, 531-557.

[9] J. S. Milne, *Etale cohomology*, Princeton Press, 1980.

[10] ———, *Arithmetic duality theorems*, Perspectives in Mathematics, vol. 1, Academic Press, 1986.

[11] J.Neukirch, A.Schmidt, and K.Wingberg, *Cohomology of Number Fields*, Grundlehren, vol. 323, Springer, 2000.

[12] O.T.O'Meara, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Springer -Verlag, 1973.

[13] V. P. Platonov and A. S. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Academic Press, 1994.

[14] L.Rédei, *Über die Pellsche Gleichung $t^2 - du^2 = -1$*, J. reine und angew. Math. **173** (1935), 193 –221.

[15] G. Shimura, *Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, Princeton University Press, 1971.
[16] A. N. Skorobogatov, *Torsors and rational points*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 144, Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[1] Academy of Mathematics and System Science, CAS, Beijing 100190, P.R.China
E-mail address: `dshwei@amss.ac.cn`

[2] School of Mathematics, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, P.R.China
E-mail address: `xufei@math.ac.cn`